1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 11 12 ROBERT A CROW, 13 Petitioner, Case No. C04-5775FDB 14 v. REPORT AND 15 ALICE PAYNE, RECOMMENDATION 16 Respondent. **NOTED FOR: February 24th, 2006** 17 18 19 This 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition for habeas corpus relief has been referred to the undersigned 20 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) and local Rules MJR 3 and 4. 21 The petitioner attempted to file a 235 page long petition in this case. The court refused to 22 allow filing of that petition and directed petitioner to file an amended petition. (Dkt. #11). 23 Petitioner had until January 17th, 2005 to file the amended petition. (Dkt. # 11). Petitioner was 24 specifically warned that if no amended petition was received the court would recommend dismissal 25 for failure to comply with a court order and failure to prosecute. (Dkt. # 11). Petitioner did not 26 comply with the court's order and a Report and Recommendation to dismiss was entered. (Dkt. #

28 ORDER- 1

27

Case 3:04-cv-05775-FDB Document 28 Filed 02/03/06 Page 2 of 2

1	16). Petitioner objected and the District Court Judge gave the petitioner a deadline of May 27 th ,
2	2005 to file an amended petition. (Dkt # 17 and 18). The case was re- referred at that point. (Dkt.
3	# 18). Petitioner did not comply with the courts orders and appealed to the Ninth Circuit. (Dkt,. #
4	19). This case remained on appeal until August of 2005 when the Ninth Circuit dismissed the appeal
5	(Dkt. # 25 and 26). The order denying his appeal was returned as undeliverable on August 31st,
6	2006. (Dkt. # 27). There has been no activity in the file since August of 2005.
7	The court recommends the petition be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE for failure to
8	comply with court orders to amend. In the alternative the court recommends the action be
9	DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for failure to keep the court apprized of a current address
10	as required by Local Rule 41 (b)(2). A proposed order accompanies this report and
11	recommendation.
12	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal rules of Civil Procedure, the
13	parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed.
14	R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of
15	appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule
16	72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on February 24th , 2006 , as noted in
17	the caption.
18	
19	DATED this 1 st day of February, 2006.
20	
21	
22	
23)
24	been Lationsom
25	Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge
26	
27	

28 ORDER- 2